Other Interested Parties

In addition to the Agency Working Group, the project team held over 50 meetings with technical specialists from participating agencies to review environmental issues and provide input.

A number of other organizations, agencies, and individuals have been consulted about the proposed project. On April 19, 2001, letters were sent to 19 individuals and organizations concerned with the history and historic preservation of the Presidio. Consultation between agencies and the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) regarding this project has been ongoing since the first Doyle Drive Project meeting, which began with the development of the Area of Potential Effect (APE). The SHPO has participated in agency meetings to discuss and set the APE, as well as to advise on historic preservation issues for both archaeology and the built environment. In addition, representatives from the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) have visited the project site and taken an interest in its development.

6.3 Additional Public Outreach

In addition to the formal committee and working group meetings, other public outreach efforts included:

Outreach Meetings

The Doyle Drive project team has periodically met with small groups of citizens and with individual citizens to discuss project issues. In spring 2000, the project team held stakeholder interviews and meetings with area residents and neighborhood organizations to introduce the project and identify key issues. Additional stakeholder meetings were held in winter 2001 to discuss the preliminary project alternatives. Representatives of the project team attended neighborhood association meetings throughout the process to present Doyle Drive Project issues (including approximately ten meetings in Spring 2004) to gather input on the provisional decision to eliminate Alternatives 3 and 4, and include Alternative 5 for further studies.

Newsletters

Two newsletters were published addressing the Doyle Drive Project status. The first edition was released in January 2001, and focused on the purpose of the Doyle Drive Project and the alternatives being evaluated at the time. The second newsletter, issued in July 2002, discussed the alternatives that were chosen to be evaluated for the DEIS/R and the opportunities for public comment. Both newsletters were sent to a mailing list of over 1,500 individuals including elected officials, community members, and representatives from county and city agencies.

Fact Sheet

A project fact sheet was developed in February 2004 to provide information on the alternatives being evaluated in the DEIS/R. The fact sheet was mailed to the Agency Working Group and the Citizens Subcommittee. It was distributed to attendees of the February 23, 2004 public meeting and was made available at subsequent neighborhood association and agency meetings.

Website

The Doyle Drive website provides the public with the most up-to-date information about the project including the latest design alternative graphics and project schedule. The site provides the opportunity for the public to submit comments to the project team. Members of the public also can request to be added to the Doyle Drive mailing list through the website at www.doyledrive.org.

Design Charrette for the Five Corners Intersection

In July 2004, the Authority invited the public, local businesses, and the San Francisco Department of Parking and Traffic (DPT) to participate in a series of facilitated workshops to define the existing problems associated with the Five Corners Intersection. This intersection is where Marina Boulevard, Mason

Street, Lyon Street, Doyle Drive, and Yacht Road meet. During the charrette, the public had the opportunity to discuss traffic operations and recommend general design options for this location.

Results from the charrette were submitted to DPT for their review, consideration and implementation, as



Working together at the Five Corners Intersection Charrette Session

appropriate. Although not officially part of this Doyle Drive Project, there was extensive coordination and integration between both processes.

6.4 Summary of Native American Consultation

Through the consultation process, local Native Americans -- the Ohlones -- have been involved in all aspects of the investigation and planning for this project. Participants have contributed their knowledge and perception to the process and, as a result, have assisted in the overall assessment of significance and potential

I